

**PLANNING COMMITTEE 7 January 2015**  
**LIST OF LATE ITEMS RECEIVED AFTER PREPARATION OF MAIN AGENDA:**

---

**ITEM 01**

**14/00881/REM**

**Mr Dean Shaw**

**Introduction:-**

Amended plans have been received which vary the species to include more native planting.

**Appraisal:-**

The amended planting scheme proposes the planting of native species along the Clarendon Park boundary. This is considered to enhance the character of the immediate area and is therefore considered acceptable. Condition 2 and 7 require modification to include the amended plans.

**Recommendation:-**

That the Committee agree to delegate the final wording of conditions 1 and 7 to officers to reflect the updated information and plan reference numbers.

---

**ITEM 03**

**14/00963/FUL**

**Mr Ian Bullions**

**Consultations:-**

Further letter of objection received commenting on the amended plans. This representation raises the following additional objections:-

- n) loss of part of the privet hedge to provide a visibility splay at the junction with Springfield Road and its replacement with railings would be out of keeping with character and street scene
- o) two cars will still be unable to pass in the main length of the access and lorries will be unable to turn or exit in a forwards direction.

**Appraisal:-**

The removal of a small section of privet hedgerow to provide a significantly improved visibility splay and passing place to enable vehicles to pull clear of the highway at the junction with Springfield Road is considered to improve highway safety to a degree that would outweigh any adverse impacts on wildlife habitat or the character of the area. There are a variety of boundary treatments within the street scene therefore the use of metal railings is not considered to be unacceptable in visual terms.

---

**ITEM 06**

**14/01104/FUL**

**Mr Adam Preston**

**Appraisal:-**

Environmental Health (Land Drainage) made comments regarding the access drive which they recommend should be constructed of a permeable material. No alterations to the existing parking or paved areas are proposed with this application and will remain as existing. It would therefore not be appropriate to include conditions to deal with these issues.

**PLANNING COMMITTEE**  
**7 January 2015**  
**SPEAKERS**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Application</b>	<b>Speaker(s)</b>	<b>Applicant/ objector</b>
01	14/00881/REM	Mr Marsh	Applicant
02	14/00596/OUT	Mr Morgan Mr Lawrence	Objector Agent
03	14/00963/FUL	Mr Denney	Objector